K.C. Pilecek 1

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

## THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

em Application

Applicant(s): Kenneth C. Pilecek

Case:

Serial No.:

09/467,240

Filing Date:

December 20, 1999

Group:

2666

Examiner:

Phuc H. Tran

Title:

Methods and Devices for Providing Links to Experts

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: June 13, 2005

Sir:

Submitted herewith is the following document relating to the above-identified patent application:

(1) Response to Restriction Requirement.

There is no additional fee due in conjunction with the response. In the event of any nonpayment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or to credit Avaya Inc. Deposit Account No. 50-1602 as required to correct the error.

Joseph B. Ryan

Reg. No. 37,922

Attorney for Applicant(s) Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

Respectfully submitted,

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-7517

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents,

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## **Patent Application**

Applicant(s): Kenneth C. Pilecek

Case:

1

Serial No.:

09/467,240

Filing Date:

December 20, 1999

Group:

2666

Examiner:

Phuc H. Tran

Title:

Methods and Devices for Providing Links to Experts

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The present application was filed on December 20, 1999, with claims 1-269. Claims 1-269 are currently pending in the application. Claims 1, 87 and 176 are independent claims.

In a second non-final Office Action dated May 11, 2005, the Examiner has required restriction of the claims to one of two groups, namely, Group I, comprising claims 1-86, and Group II, comprising claims 87-269.

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement. It is believed that the claims are sufficiently related to be searchable in the same search effort, and that to proceed otherwise would be wasteful of resources for the USPTO and for Applicant. In fact, the USPTO has already done a unified search and examination of claims 1-269, as reflected in the initial non-final Office Action dated October 5, 2004. To issue a restriction requirement at this stage, subsequent to a unified search and examination of claims 1-269, is believed to be improper, and as previously noted, an inefficient use of resources. Accordingly, the restriction requirement should be withdrawn and examination should continue for claims 1-269.

Notwithstanding the traversal, Applicant provisionally elects the claims of Group II, that is, claims 87-269, for continued prosecution on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph B. Ryan

Date: June 13, 2005

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 37,922

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-7517